Helga Smith MA   Paul Smith MA
April 2026

Why *Visual* Learning Works

Your brain was not designed to remember words.
It was designed to remember experiences.

That simple fact explains why most learning fails—and why visual, moving information sticks. The science behind it is both elegant and actionable.

01 — DUAL CODING

The brain prefers *images* over words

In cognitive psychology, this is known as *Dual Coding Theory*. When you encounter a word alongside its visual representation, your brain lays down two separate memory traces instead of one.

- A verbal code — the word itself, stored in language circuits
- A visual code — the image, stored in perceptual memory

That doubles your chances of recall. If one trace fades, the other can trigger it — like a key that opens the same lock from two different angles.

02 — ORIENTING RESPONSE

Movement makes memory *urgent*

The brain evolved to notice change. Still things can be ignored. Moving things cannot. This is driven by the *orienting response* — an automatic reflex that pulls your attention toward motion, a survival mechanism repurposed for learning.

- Your attention spikes the moment something transforms
- The brain tags the moment as important — worth storing
- Memory encoding deepens as a result

Motion whispers to your brain: *this matters — store it.*

03 — THE AMYGDALA EFFECT

Emotion and surprise *lock it in*

When something is unexpected, beautiful, or slightly strange, it activates the *amygdala* — the brain's emotional core, which plays a decisive role in what gets remembered and what gets discarded.

- Neutral information quietly fades
- Emotionally marked information persists

Emotion acts like a highlighter in the margins of a book. A surprising animation is remembered long after plain text is forgotten.

04 — ACTIVE PROCESSING

The *generation effect* deepens retention

When you actively interpret a visual — decoding what it means rather than simply reading text — you engage what psychologists call the *generation effect*. The effort itself is the mechanism.

- You decode — making sense of what you see
- You connect — linking new material to existing knowledge
- You reconstruct — building meaning rather than receiving it

This effortful engagement strengthens neural pathways and markedly improves long-term recall.

05 — MICRO-EXPERIENCES

Timing matters: *small beats long*

Short, vivid bursts of 5–10 seconds are optimal. The brain does not learn better with more time — it learns better with more clarity.

- Brief exposures avoid cognitive overload
- Each burst creates a distinct, retrievable memory episode
- Short units are easier to revisit and reinforce

Your brain prefers many small, clear signals over one long, blurry one.

THE CORE INSIGHT

You are turning abstract information into a **multi-sensory event** *— and the brain remembers events.*

Sources & *Further Reading*

Primary research, peer-reviewed papers, and key texts underlying each section of the essay.

SECTION 01

The Brain Prefers *Images* Over Words — Dual Coding Theory

Paivio, A. *(1971). Imagery and Verbal Processes.* Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

The original monograph establishing dual coding theory. Paivio demonstrates that verbal and non-verbal (imagistic) information are encoded in distinct but interconnected cognitive systems, and that dual encoding reliably improves recall.

Paivio, A. *(1986). Mental Representations: A Dual Coding Approach.* Oxford University Press.

Expanded statement of the theory, introducing "logogens" (verbal units) and "imagens" (non-verbal units). The standard academic reference for the theory's formal architecture.

Clark, J. M., & Paivio, A. *(1991). Dual coding theory and education.* Educational Psychology Review, 3(3), 149–170. doi:10.1007/BF01320076

The most-cited educational application of DCT. Shows how verbal-visual integration improves comprehension and retention across literacy, motor learning, and mathematics. A practical bridge between lab findings and classroom design.

Mayer, R. E. *(2009). Multimedia Learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Develops DCT into a full Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning through dozens of controlled experiments. Establishes 12 evidence-based design principles for instructional media, all grounded in dual-channel processing.

Mayer, R. E. *(2024). The past, present, and future of the cognitive theory of multimedia learning.* Educational Psychology Review, 36(1), 8. doi:10.1007/s10648-023-09842-1

Mayer's own retrospective on four decades of CTML research. Charts how the theory has expanded from purely cognitive to include social and affective processing. The most up-to-date summary of the field from its principal architect.

SECTION 02

Movement Makes Memory *Urgent* — The Orienting Response

Sokolov, E. N. (1963). *Perception and the Conditioned Reflex*. Pergamon Press.

The foundational text on the orienting reflex — the involuntary attentional capture triggered by novel stimuli. Sokolov's framework (stimulus → neuronal model → mismatch → orienting response) remains the standard account of how novelty and motion command attention.

Sokolov, E. N. (1990). *The orienting response, and future directions of its development*. Pavlov Journal of Biological Science, 25(3), 142–150. PubMed: 2287527

Late-career summary distinguishing voluntary from involuntary orienting, and applying OR theory to computer-based learning. Introduces event-related potentials as objective markers of attentional capture.

Friedman, D., Cycowicz, Y. M., & Gaeta, H. (2009). *The brain's orienting response: An event-related fMRI investigation*. Human Brain Mapping, 30(4), 1144–1154. PMC2718677

Uses fMRI to map the neural correlates of the orienting response to unexpected novel stimuli. Identifies the inferior frontal gyrus as key to extracting meaning — explaining why motion cues don't merely capture attention but also prompt deeper semantic encoding.

Lyytinen, H., Naatanen, R., Sokolov, E. N., & Spinks, J. (2013). *The Orienting Response in Information Processing*. Routledge.

Comprehensive multi-author treatment of orienting response research across single-cell recording, EEG, ERP, and behavioural measures. Essential reference for tracing the neuroscience from Pavlov to modern attention science.

SECTION 03

Emotion and Surprise *Lock It In* — The Amygdala Effect

McGaugh, J. L. (2004). *The amygdala modulates the consolidation of memories of emotionally arousing experiences*. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 27, 1–28. doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144157

The landmark review establishing that the basolateral amygdala modulates memory consolidation during emotional arousal. Drawing on decades of animal and human studies, McGaugh shows precisely why emotional events are remembered with greater fidelity and durability than neutral ones.

McGaugh, J. L. (2013). *Making lasting memories: Remembering the significant*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(Suppl. 2), 10402–10407. doi:10.1073/pnas.1301209110

A concise update on the neuromodulatory role of adrenal stress hormones and norepinephrine in amygdala-driven memory enhancement. Argues that emotional arousal is evolution's mechanism for selectively preserving important experiences.

LeDoux, J. E. (2000). *Emotion circuits in the brain*. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 23, 155–184.

LeDoux's mapping of the neural circuitry for emotional learning, demonstrating the central role of the lateral amygdala in associating stimuli with emotional significance. Foundational for understanding how unexpected or vivid images acquire emotional charge and thus stick in memory.

Roozendaal, B., McEwen, B. S., & Chattarji, S. (2009). *Stress, memory and the amygdala*. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10, 423–433.

Reviews how the amygdala integrates neuromodulatory signals (cortisol, norepinephrine) to tag memories for preferential consolidation. Connects animal models to human neuroimaging data, clarifying why arousal — not just emotion — is the key variable.

SECTION 04

The *Generation Effect* Deepens Retention

Slamecka, N. J., & Graf, P. (1978). *The generation effect: Delineation of a phenomenon*. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory, 4(6), 592–604. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.4.6.592

The paper that named and established the generation effect across five experiments. Self-generated words are reliably better recalled than words merely read, across free recall, cued recall, and recognition. The benchmark reference for active encoding research.

Bertsch, S., Pesta, B. J., Wiscott, R., & McDaniel, M. A. (2007). *The generation effect: A meta-analysis*. Journal of Memory and Language, 57(2), 213–242.

A comprehensive meta-analysis quantifying the generation effect across hundreds of studies. Confirms a robust advantage (effect size d ≈ 0.40) for generated over read material, most pronounced in free recall and with semantic generation tasks.

Rosner, Z. A., Elman, J. A., & Shimamura, A. P. (2013). *The generation effect: Activating broad neural circuits during memory encoding*. Cortex, 49(7), 1901–1909. PMC3556209

First fMRI investigation of the neural underpinnings of the generation effect. Generation tasks activate left prefrontal and anterior temporal regions — explaining why the effort of generation deepens encoding at the neural level.

Craik, F. I. M., & Lockhart, R. S. (1972). *Levels of processing: A framework for memory research*. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 11(6), 671–684.

The "levels of processing" framework, showing that deeper semantic processing produces more durable memory traces than shallow perceptual processing. Provides the theoretical foundation from which the generation effect grew, and remains one of the most cited papers in cognitive psychology.

SECTION 05

Timing Matters — *Small Beats Long*

Ebbinghaus, H. *(1885 / trans. 1913).* *Memory: A Contribution to Experimental Psychology.* Teachers College, Columbia University.

The original scientific study of human memory, introducing the forgetting curve and demonstrating that memory decays exponentially but that spaced review dramatically slows the decline. The statistical regularity Ebbinghaus documented underpins everything in section five.

Cepeda, N. J., Pashler, H., Vul, E., Wixted, J. T., & Rohrer, D. *(2006).* *Distributed practice in verbal recall tasks: A review and quantitative synthesis.* Psychological Bulletin, 132(3), 354–380. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.132.3.354

The definitive meta-analysis on the spacing effect, reviewing 254 experiments. Confirms that distributing practice across short sessions substantially outperforms massed practice for long-term retention across virtually all content types and learner ages.

Miller, G. A. *(1956).* *The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information.* Psychological Review, 63(2), 81–97.

Miller's classic demonstration of working memory's capacity limit. Establishes the cognitive loading constraint that makes short, discrete learning episodes more effective than long continuous ones — the theoretical basis for chunking and micro-experience design.

Sweller, J. *(1988).* *Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning.* Cognitive Science, 12(2), 257–285.

Introduces cognitive load theory — the framework explaining why overloaded working memory impairs learning and why segmenting content into manageable units improves it. Essential theoretical grounding for the micro-experience principle.

Kang, S. H. K. *(2016).* *Spaced repetition promotes efficient and effective learning: Policy implications for instruction.* Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 3(1), 12–19.

A concise, policy-oriented synthesis of the spacing literature with direct recommendations for instructional design. Particularly useful for vocabulary learning and professional development contexts.

BOOKS FOR FURTHER READING

- Brown, P. C., Roediger, H. L., & McDaniel, M. A. (2014). *Make It Stick: The Science of Successful Learning*. Harvard University Press.
- Willingham, D. T. (2009). *Why Don't Students Like School?* Jossey-Bass.
- Medina, J. (2008). *Brain Rules*. Pear Press.
- Dunlosky, J. et al. (2013). Improving students' learning with effective techniques. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 14*(1), 4–58.
- Mayer, R. E. (Ed.). (2022). *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.